A Practical RuCl₃-Catalyzed Oxidation Using Trichloroisocyanuric Acid As a Stoichiometric Oxidant under Mild Nonacidic Conditions

Hidenori Yamaoka,[†] Narimasa Moriya,[†] and Masaya Ikunaka*,[‡]

The Second Laboratories, Research and Development Center, Nagase & Co., Ltd., 2-2-3 Murotani, Nishi-ku, Kobe, 651-2241, Hyogo, Japan, and Product Development Section 4, Bio/Fine Chemicals Division, Nagase ChemteX Corporation, 2-2-3 Murotani, Nishi-ku, Kobe, Hyogo, 651-2241, Japan

Abstract:

The combined use of catalytic RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %) and stoichiometric trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCCA; 1.0 equiv) in the presence of *n*-Bu₄NBr (2.0 mol %) and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) in 1:1 MeCN/H₂O at 25–45 °C allows smooth oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids. Secondary alcohols can be oxidized to ketones when using the same set of the reagents in 1:1 MeCN/ H₂O or 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O. By proceeding under the nonacidic biphasic conditions dispensing with hazardous reagents, the oxidation reactions are applicable to structurally diverse alcohols, easy to work up, environmentally benign, and basically high-yielding.

Introduction

With the adjustment of a molecular oxidation state playing a vital role in both total synthesis of natural products¹ and industrial production of organic compounds,² intensive effort has been directed towards developing preparative methods to oxidize alcohol groups in multifunctional compounds to carbonyl or carboxylic groups without affecting the other existent functionalities.³

Despite a quantum leap in recent synthetic methodologies, oxidation of primary alcohols **1** to carboxylic acids **3** still lacks definitive options, whereas there are different effective tools to oxidize primary alcohols **1** to aldehydes **2** (Scheme 1).⁴ Thus, the prevailing method to oxidize primary alcohols **1** to carboxylic acids **3** often depends on discrete two-step procedures involving oxidation of primary **1** alcohols **1** to





aldehydes 2^4 and NaClO₂-mediated oxidation of the resulting aldehydes 2 to carboxylic acids 3,⁵ while tandem one-pot procedures have also been developed wherein NaClO₂ is used concomitantly with NaClO in the presence of catalytic 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO).^{6,7} Indeed, such one-pot procedures are mild enough to find some applications; however, it is the same nature of mildness that has detracted from their practicality as they require a long reaction time when applied to the oxidation of primary alcohols with a relatively complex structure.^{6b}

5

When using Jones' reagent,⁸ a range of primary alcohols **1** could be oxidized to carboxylic acids **3** in a more expeditious manner (Scheme 1), and it is the reason that no reagent has been more commonly used than Jones' reagent in the laboratory since its advent in 1946^8 despite the fact that this classical method has suffered from two drawbacks: (1) too acidic conditions such that acid-labile functionalities

(7) Zanka, A. Chem. Pharm. Buil. 2005, 51, 88

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Telephone: +81 78 992 3164. Fax: +81 78 992 1050. E-mail: masaya.ikunaka@nagase.co.jp.

[†] Nagase ChemteX Corporation.

[‡]Nagase & Co., Ltd.

⁽¹⁾ Nicholaou, K. C.; Snyder, S. A. Classics in Total Synthesis II: More Targets, Strategies, Methods; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003. (b) Nicholaou, K. C.; Sorensen, E. J. Classics in Total Synthesis: Targets, Strategies, Methods; VCH: Weinheim, 1996. (c) Total Synthesis of Natural Products: Today, Tomorrow and Beyond; Tatsuta, K., Kitahara, T., Fukuyama, T., Ed.; Kikan Kagaku Sosetsu, No. 45; Japan Scientific Societies Press: Tokyo, 2000.

^{(2) (}a) Anderson, N. G. Practical Process Research & Development; Academic Press: San Diego, 2000. (b) Chemical Process Research: The Art of Practical Organic Synthesis; Abdel-Magid, A. F., Ragan, J. A., Ed.; ACS Symposium Series 870; America Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2004.

^{(3) (}a) Hudlicky, M. Oxidation in Organic Chemistry; ACS Monograph 186, America Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1990. (b) Larock, R. C. Comprehensive Organic Transformations: A Guide to Functional Group Preparations, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1999; pp 1234–1255 for oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols to the respective aldehydes and ketones; pp 1646–1648 for oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids.

^{(4) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Suggs, J. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 2647. (b) Corey, E. J.; Schmidt, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 399. (c) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155. (d) Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P. Synthesis 1994, 639. (e) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480. (f) Parikh, J. R.; Doering, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5505. (g) Anelli, P. L.: Montanari, F.; Quichi, S. Org. Synth. 1990, 69, 212. (h) de Nooy, A. E. J.; Besemer, A. C.; van Bekkum, H. Synthesis 1996, 1153. (i) Einhorn, J.; Einhorn, C.; Ratajczak, F.; Pierre, J.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 61, 7452.

 ^{(5) (}a) Dalancale, E.; Montanari, F. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 567. (b) Wovkulich,
 P. M.; Shankaran, K.; Kiegiel, J.; Uskoković, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 832.

^{(6) (}a) Zhao, M.; Li., J.; Mano, E.; Song, Z.; Tschaen, D. M.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2564. (b) Ikunaka, M.; Matsumoto, J.; Nishimoto, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 1201.
(7) Zanka, A. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 888.

 ^{(8) (}a) Bowden, K.; Heilbron, I. M.; Jones, E. R. H.; Weedon, B. C. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1946, 39. (b) Bowers, A.; Halsall, T. G.; Jones, E. R. H.; Lemin, A. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1953, 2548.

cannot survive and (2) use of environmentally demanding toxic chromium(VI) species.

Corey's pyridinium dichromate (PDC) in DMF^{4b} could have been used more frequently to oxidize primary alcohols **1** to carboxylic acids **3** because of the neutral nature of the reaction (Scheme 1). However, besides the hazardous nature of the chromium species in PDC, use of the polar solvent of DMF has kept the PDC-mediated oxidation from being practical due to the difficulty in separating the carboxylic acid products **3** from DMF through the extractive workup.⁹

To effect the oxidation of primary alcohols **1** to carboxylic acids **3** in a more environmentally benign manner, Noyori's chromium-free conditions could be applied where aqueous H_2O_2 is used as a stoichiometric oxidant in combination with a catalytic amount of Na₂WO₄ in the presence of [Me(n-C₈H₁₇)₃N]HSO₄ as a phase transfer catalyst (Scheme 1).¹⁰ However, this oxidation protocol has found limited use so far because of the severely acidic nature of the reaction media;^{10b} thus, the situation seems not to have changed so much from that about half a century ago with respect to practicality, still suffering a tradeoff between applicability and environmental friendliness.¹¹

When it comes to oxidation of secondary alcohols 4 to ketones 5, the standard methods to oxidize primary alcohols 1 to aldehydes 2^4 are also applicable (Scheme 1). In addition, Albright and Goldman's method using Ac₂O and DMSO is also effective for the oxidation of sterically hindered secondary alcohols 5^{12} In fact, being so mild and chemoselective, oxidation using activated DMSO has now won renown as a versatile preparative method,^{4e,4f} whether the desired products are aldehydes or ketones.¹³ However, use of excess DMSO sometimes poses practical problems, such as stench and troublesome product isolation. In particular, the issue of product isolation (separation of polar products from the DMSO used without recourse to silica gel chromatography) can make the DMSO-mediated oxidation less amenable to scale-up when it is applied to the oxidation of polar substrates, such as sugar derivatives.¹⁴

To overcome the above-discussed limitations of the present methodologies to oxidize primary and secondary alcohols 1 and 4 to carboxylic acids 3 and ketones 5, respectively, sets of reaction variables were explored based

- (12) Albright, J. D.; Goldman, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 4214.
- (13) (a) Zanka, A.; Itoh, N.; Kuroda, S. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1999, 3, 394.
 (b) Wang, C.-C.; Li, J. J.; Huang, H.-C.; Lee, L. F.; Reitz, D. B. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2711.(c) Yamada, S.; Mori, Y.; Morimatsu, K.; Ishizu, Y.; Ozaki, Y.; Yoshioka, R.; Nakatani, T.; Seko, H. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8586.
- (14) (a) Sowa, W.; Thomas, G. H. S. *Can. J. Chem.* **1966**, *44*, 836. (b)
 Brimacombe, J. S.; Bryan, J. G. H.; Husain, A.; Stacey, M.; Tolley, M. S. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1967**, *3*, 318.

Scheme 2. RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation in the presence of TBAB as a phase transfer catalyst



on the literature precedents for a new and improved combination of the relevant reagents that would meet the following requirements: (1) wide applicability owing to sufficient power of oxidation; (2) mild nonacidic milieu in which a range of functionalities can survive unaffected; (3) environmentally benign conditions dispensing with chromium species and halogenated solvents; and (4) facile product isolation due to no use of polar aprotic solvents, such as DMF and DMSO. Experimental effort along these lines has eventually culminated in identification of a practical recipe for the alcohol oxidation that features the combined use of catalytic RuCl₃ and stoichiometric trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCCA) in the presence of K_2CO_3 as an acid scavenger under the phase transfer conditions catalyzed by *n*-Bu₄NBr (Scheme 2).

Results and Discussion

In the course of extensive experimentation designed to address the above-defined issues, RuCl₃-catalyzed oxidation¹⁵ turned out promising, while the standard Sharpless protocol has remained less than satisfactory, since it employs expensive NaIO₄ as a stoichiometric oxidant and toxic CCl₄ as an essential component of the reaction medium.^{15a} Interestingly, RuCl₃ proved to work as an effective oxidation catalyst in a heterogeneous system (1:1 MeCN/H₂O) when it was used in combination with stoichiometric trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCCA; 1.0 equiv),¹⁶ an inexpensive oxidant miscible freely with organic solvents of low polarity. Further investigation showed that addition of *n*-Bu₄NBr (TBAB; 2.0 mol %) as a phase transfer catalyst^{4i,17} allowed the usage of RuCl₃ to be reduced to no more than 1.0 mol %; and what was better, the progress of the RuCl₃/TCCA/TBAB-mediated oxidation

⁽⁹⁾ The workup procedures recommended in ref 4b for the PDC-mediated oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids in DMF involve pouring the reaction mixture into water followed by extraction with ether or ether/ pentane; however, few carboxylic acids of high polarity would be extracted into such solvent(s).

 ^{(10) (}a) Sato, K.; Aoki, M.; Takagi, J.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12386. (b) Sato, K.; Aoki, M.; Takagi, J.; Zimmermann, K.; Noyori, R. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1999, 72, 2287. (c) Sato, K. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 2002, 60, 974.

⁽¹¹⁾ For the catalytic version of chromium trioxide-mediated oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids using periodic acid as a stoichiometric oxidant, see: Zhao, M.; Li, J.; Song, Z.; Desmond, R.; Tschaen, D. M.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 5323.

 ^{(15) (}a) Carlsen, P. H. J.; Katsuki, T.; Martin, V. S.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3936. (b) Fleitz, F. J.; Lyle, T. A.; Zheng, N.; Armstrong, J. D., III.; Volante, R. P. Synth. Commun. 2000, 30, 3171.

^{(16) (}a) For a comprehensive review on the use of TCCA as an oxidant, see: Tilstman, U.; Weinmann, H. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2002, 6, 384. (b) For the TEMPO (2.0 mol %)-catalyzed oxidation of alcohols to carboxylic acids using TCCA (2.0 equiv) as a stoichiometric oxidant in acetone in the presence of catalytic NaBr (0.2 equiv) and an aqueous NaHCO₃ solution, see: Luca, L. D.; Giacomelli, G.; Masala, S.; Porcheddu, A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 4999.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For the RuCl₃-catalyzed oxidation with H₂O₂ in a biphasic Cl(CH₂)₂Cl/ H₂O system using didecyldimethylammonium bromide as a phase transfer catalyst, see: Barak, G.; Dakka, J.; Sasson, Y. J. Org. Chem. **1988**, 53, 3553.

Scheme 3. Stoichiometry for the RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation



turned out to be less affected by the presence of an acid scavenger such as K_2CO_3 (3.0 equiv),¹⁸ as discussed in detail below, which should help the oxidation to proceed under mild nonacidic conditions (Scheme 2).¹⁹

Oxidation of Primary Alcohols. TCCA 6 is converted into cyanuric acid (CNA) 7 with generation of HCl when working as the stoichiometric oxidant for the RuCl₃-catalyzed oxidation of alcohols (Scheme 3). Thus, for the oxidation to be compatible with acid-susceptible functionalities, the released HCl and the generated CNA 7 should both be neutralized with a pertinent base that would not affect the oxidation itself. Thus, some common bases were tested for the compatibility with the oxidation of 1-octanol 1a with RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %) and TCCA (1.0 equiv) in the presence of TBAB (2.0 mol %) in 1:1 MeCN/H₂O (Table 1): when the oxidation of 1-octanol 1a was attempted in the presence of NaOH, NaHCO₃, or K₂CO₃ each in 3.0 molar equivalents, it went to completion in 3 h to give octanoic acid 3a in a GLC yield of 80.6%, 98.4%, and 85.9%, respectively, against an internal standard (n-tridecane) without intermediate octanal 2a being detected.

In contrast, the oxidation running in the presence of pyridine (3.0 equiv) provided **3a** (76%) and **2a** (8%), the product distribution being similar to that for the oxidation in the absence of any base, 69.3:9.9 **3a/2a**. Moreover, when the oxidation was attempted in the presence of Et_3N (3.0 equiv), none of **3a** was generated with **1a** being left almost unconsumed. While experimental evidence was lacking, it

(19) Ikunaka, M.; Moriya, N.; Yamaoka, H. A patent application submitted.

Table 1. RuCl₃/TCCA-Mediated oxidation of 1-octanol 1a to octanoic acid 3a in the presence of a range of bases^{*a*}

		product composition (%) after 3.0 h ^b			
entry	base	octanol 1a	octanal 2a	octanoic acid 3a	
1	NaOH	0	0	80.6	
2	K_2CO_3	0	0	98.4	
3	NaHCO ₃	0	0	85.9	
4	pyridine	0	8.2	75.6	
5	Et ₃ N	89.5	0	0	
6	none	0	9.9	69.3	

^{*a*} A 1.0 M MeCN solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of **1a** (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and a specified base (3.0 equiv) at 25–45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h. ^{*b*} Determined by GLC using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard after the generated **3a** was converted to the corresponding methyl ester with Me₃SiCHN₂;²³ for the detailed conditions, see procedure 1 in Experimental Section.

Table 2. Effects of pH on the RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation of 1-octanol 1a to octanoic acid 3a^a

		product composition (%) after 3.0 h ^b			
entry	pН	octanol 1a	octanal 2a	octanoic acid 3a	
1	5	0	0	82.5	
2	7	0	0	83.3	
3	9	0	0	100	

^{*a*} A 1.0 M MeCN solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of **1a** (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN/1.0 M potassium phosphate buffer adjusted to a specified pH value in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %) and TBAB (2.0 mol %) at 25-45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h during which the pH of the mixture was maintained at an indicated value by adding a 3.0 M aqueous K₂CO₃ solution. ^{*b*} Determined by GLC using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard after the generated **3a** was converted to the corresponding methyl ester with Me₃SiCHN₂;²³ for the detailed conditions, see procedure 2 in Experimental Section.

Table 3. Effects of organic solvent species on the RuCl₃/ TCCA-mediated oxidation of 1-octanol 1a to octanoic acid 3a^a

		product composition (%) after 3.0 h^b			
entry	organic solvent	octanol 1a	octanal 2a	octanoic acid 3a	
1	MeCN	0	0	93.0	
2	AcOEt	0	9.4	74.4	
3	MeCOi-Pr (MIBK)	0	22.4	37.8	
4	PhMe	0	0	32.2	
5	<i>n</i> -heptane	0	1.7	39.5	

^{*a*} A 1.0 M solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) in a specified organic solvent was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of **1a** (0.5 M) and 1:1 the organic solvent/ H₂O in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) at 25–45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h. ^{*b*} Determined by GLC using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard after the generated **3a** was converted to the corresponding methyl ester with Me₃SiCHN₂;²³ for the detailed conditions, see procedure 1 in Experimental Section.

was assumed that this phenomenon should result from Et₃N diverting the RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation to its *N*-oxide formation because Et₃N has more electron-donating propensity than pyridine.

Now that the highest GLC yield was recorded in the presence of K_2CO_3 (3.0 equiv), it was eventually nominated as the most appropriate acid scavenger for the oxidation in question.

⁽¹⁸⁾ For the kinetics-oriented studies on the RuCl₃/TCCA oxidation in acidic media, see: (a) Pati, S. C.; Sahu, A. K.; Sriramulu, Y. Oxid. Commun. **1985**/86, 8, 243. (b) Pati, S. C.; Pathy, H. P.; Dev, B. R. Oxid. Commun. **1987**, 10, 19. (c) Pati, S. C.; Sahu, A. K.; Sriramulu, Y. Curr. Sci. **1988**, 57, 325. (d) Pati, S. C.; Sahu, A. K.; Sriramulu, Y. Curr. Sci. **1988**, 33, 157. (e) Pati, S. C.; Sahu, A. K.; Sriramulu, Y. Rev. Roum. Chim. **1988** 33, 157. (e) Pati, S. C.; Sarangi, C. Ind. J. Chem. **1988**, 27A, 593. (f) Pati, S. C.; Patro, P. S. C. Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad. **1989**, 55A, 75. (e) Mohan, R. V. G. K.; Sondu, S. React. Kinet. Catal. Lett. **1997**, 61, 167.

Table 4. Best practices for the RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids^a



^{*a*} A 1.0 M MeCN solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of an alcohol substrate (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) at 25–45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h. ^{*b*} An isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by GLC using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard after the generated **3b** was converted to the corresponding methyl ester with Me₃SiCHN₂;²³ for the detailed conditions, see Experimental Section.

To define the pH range within which the oxidation would proceed uneventfully, the oxidation of **1a** was conducted at pH 5, 7, or 9, each of which was kept constant by the combined use of a 1.0 M potassium phosphate buffer and a 3.0 M aqueous K_2CO_3 solution. As summarized in Table 2, it was presumed that the oxidation at pH 9 provided the highest GLC yield; however, the oxidation seems to proceed smoothly at any pH value tested to give acid **3a** selectively when taking into account the experimental error associated with the quantitative GLC analysis using an internal standard and the complete consumption of the starting alcohol **1a** in each case.

The oxidation was next attempted in a range of organic solvents to see what effect an organic solvent species would have on the course of the oxidation (Table 3): a mixture of 1-octanol 1a (0.5 M) and 1:1 organic solvent/H₂O containing RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) was treated with a 1.0 M solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) in the organic solvent at 25-45 °C for 3.0 h, and the product composition was analyzed by GLC quantitatively against an internal standard (n-tridecane). When MeCN was used as an organic phase, the GLC analysis indicated that octanoic acid 3a was produced in 93.0% yield without any octanal 2a being detected. However, with decreasing polarity of the organic solvents used, the GLC yield of 3a declined from 74.4% in AcOEt to 32.2% yield in PhMe with complete consumption of 1a. In contrast, when the oxidation was run in methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK), its progress was intercepted by the significant formation of aldehyde 2a (22.4%).

In light of the experimentation discussed above, the best recipe to oxidize primary alcohols to carboxylic acids with TCCA (1.0 equiv) by the catalysis of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %) involves use of the biphasic MeCN/H₂O (1:1) mixture as a reaction medium, TBAB (0.2 mol %) as a phase transfer catalyst, and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) as an acid scavenger at 25–45 °C. In fact, its reliable and versatile nature can be confirmed by Table 4 listing a high isolated yield of **3a** (94.9%) and a successful oxidation of acid-labile solketal **1b** to 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-carboxylic acid **3b** (GLC yield of 96.0%).

Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols. As a test example, 2-octanol **4a** was treated with TCCA (1.0 equiv) in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %) and TBAB (2.0 mol %) in 1:1 MeCN/1.0 M potassium phosphate buffer at pH 5, 7, or

Table 5. Effects of pH on the RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation of 2-octanol 4a to 2-octanone 5a^a

		product composition (%) after 3.0 h ^b			
entry	pН	2-octanol 4a	2-octanone 5a		
1	5	0	100		
2	7	0	98.4		
3	9	0.8	78.2		

^{*a*} A 1.0 M MeCN solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of **4a** (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN1.0 M potassium phosphate buffer adjusted to a specified pH value in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %) and TBAB (2.0 mol %) at 25–45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h during which the pH of the mixture was maintained at the indicated value by adding a 3.0 M aqueous K₂CO₃ solution. ^{*b*} Determined by GLC using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard; for the detailed conditions, see procedure 3 in Experimental Section.

9 and at 25–45 °C for 3 h during which the specified pH was maintained by adding a 3.0 M aqueous solution of K_2CO_3 . As summarized in Table 5, the oxidation proceeded uneventfully under slightly acidic and neutral conditions as 2-octanone **5a** was obtained quantitatively and in 98.4% yield at pH 5 and 7, respectively, as indicated by quantitative GLC analysis using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard. In contrast, basic conditions proved detrimental to the oxidation of secondary alcohols as GLC analysis showed that **5a** was generated in 78.2% yield at pH 9 with **4a** being left unconsumed in 0.8% yield.

Interestingly, the RuCl₃/TCCA-mediated oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones would proceed better under slightly basic conditions when compared with that of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids. However, what is noticeable at the moment is that the above-specified procedures have enabled successful oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones under neutral conditions.

As regards the oxidation of secondary alcohols to ketones, the best procedures for the oxidation of primary alcohols to carboxylic acids also worked well: when a mixture of **4a** (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O containing RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) was treated with a 1.0 M solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) at 25-45 °C, **4a** was consumed completely in 1 h to give **5a** in an isolated yield of 98.0%. When the oxidation of **4a** was conducted in AcOEt or MIBK otherwise under the same conditions as mentioned above, **5a** was produced almost quantitatively in 3 h as indicated by quantitative GLC analysis using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard. In contrast, however, use of *n*-heptane

Table 6. RuCl₃/TCCA-Mediated oxidation of diverse secondary alcohols to ketones^a

Ontra	aubstrate	Product	viald (9/)	Organia nhasa	base
entry	substrate	product	yield (%)	organic phase	(equiv)
1	2-octanol 4a	2-octanone 5a	98 4 ^b	MeCN	K ₃ CO ₃
1	2-001101 44	2-octatione 5a	50.4		(3.0)
2°	ovalonentanol 4h	avalonantanana f h	eo od	AcOEt	K_3CO_3
2	cyclopentanol 40	cyclopentanone 50	69.9		(3.0)
3 °	DECU(QU)DE 4a	PhCOPh 5c	05 4b	AcOEt	K ₃ CO ₃
	PhCH(OH)Ph 4c		95.4		(3.0)
4 °	Ph Ph	Ph Ph		AcOEt	K ₃ CO ₃
			77.7°		(3.0)
	0 4 d	0 5a			
5			97 7 ^e	MeCN	AcONa
5			<i><i>yi</i>.<i>i</i></i>		(5.0)
	27	0-			
6	X OV Y OH	Xon Yoro		MeCN	AcONa
			52.3°		(5.0)
		~			(5.0)
	4f	5f ²¹			

^{*a*} Unless otherwise specified, a 1.0 M MeCN solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of an alcohol substrate (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) at 25–45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h. ^{*b*} Determined by GLC using *n*-tridecane as an internal standard; for the detailed conditions, see Experimental Section. ^{*c*} A 0.67 M AcOEt solution of TCCA (0.67 equiv) was added to a stirred mixture of an alcohol substrate (0.5 M) and 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O. ^{*d*} Determined by GLC using *n*-nonane as an internal standard; for the detailed conditions, see Experimental Section. ^{*e*} An isolated yield.

Table 7. RuCl₃/TCCA-Mediated benzyl alcohol 1c and cinnamyl alcohol 1d^a

CA-inculated benzyl aconol ic and chinamyl aconol id						
entry	substrate	product distribution (%) after 3.0 h				
		aldehyde	yield (%) ^b	carboxylic acid	yield (%) ^b	phase
1			0	0	93.9	MeCN
2 ^c	in on It	0 20	86.8	Ph OH 3c	0	AcOEt
3	OH	CHO	62.5	CO ₂ H	35.7	MeCN
4 ^c	Ph 1d	Pn ≫ 2d	98.5	Pn 😪 3d	0	AcOEt

^{*a*} Unless otherwise specified, a 1.0 M MeCN solution of TCCA (1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of an alcohol substrate (0.5 M) and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) at reflux, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h. ^{*b*} An isolated yield. ^{*c*} A 0.67 M AcOEt solution of TCCA (0.67 equiv) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of an alcohol substrate (0.5 M) and 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O in the presence of RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TBAB (2.0 mol %), and K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv) at 25–45 °C, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 3 h.

as the organic phase required as long as 40 h until the oxidation went to completion.

To demonstrate its wide applicability and tunable nature with respect to the organic phase and the acid scavenger, a range of secondary alcohols were subjected to the RuCl₃/TCCA/TBAB-based oxidation method under somewhat arbitrarily selected conditions as listed in Table 6. In fact, successful oxidation of benzoin **4d**, α -hydroxy ester (pantolactone) **4e**,²⁰ and sugar derivatives **4f**²¹ should highlight the mild chemoselective nature of the oxidation thus developed.

Oxidation of Unsaturated Alcohols. To complement the scope and limitations studies on the RuCl₃/TCCA/TBAB-mediated oxidation, benzyl alcohol **1c** was subjected to the oxidation conditions in question (Table 7). When using MeCN as the organic phase, **1c** was oxidized to benzoic acid **3c** in an isolated yield of 94%. In contrast, when AcOEt was used as the organic phase, the oxidation gave only benzaldehyde **2c** in an isolated yield of 87%.

However, in the case of the oxidation of cinnamyl alcohol 1d, no such sharp selectivity was observed (Table 7). Indeed, use of AcOEt as the organic phase allowed the selective oxidation of 1d to cinnamaldehyde 2d in 98.5% yield. However, when MeCN was used as the organic phase, the

⁽²⁰⁾ Ojima, I.; Kogure, T.; Yoda, Y. Org. Synth. 1985, 63, 18.

⁽²¹⁾ Moore, B. S.; Cho, H.; Casati, R.; Kennedy, E.; Reynolds, K.; Mocek, U.; Beale, J. M.; Floss, H. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5254.

oxidation ended up giving a mixture of **2d** and cinnamic acid **3d** in an isolated yield of 63% and 36% yield, respectively. Despite a paucity of experimental data, it was presumed that unsaturated primary alcohols could be oxidized selectively to aldehydes when AcOE was used as the organic phase for the RuCl₃/TCCA/TBAB-mediated oxidation.

Conclusions

As regards the newly developed biphasic oxidation procedures [RuCl₃ (1.0 mol %), TCCA (1.0 equiv), TBAB (2.0 mol %), K₂CO₃ (3.0 equiv); 25-45 °C], comments worth making are itemized as follows: (1) Being essentially neutral, the conditions are compatible with a range of functional groups such as acid-labile acetals and base-labile esters.²² (2) The pertinent organic phase being less polar organic solvents, such as MeCN and AcOEt, the oxidation product can be isolated in a facile practical manner. (3) The conditions are free of environmentally hazardous toxic reagents, such as chromium species and halogenated solvents including CH₂Cl₂. (4) Primary alcohols are best oxidized to carboxylic acids in 1:1 MeCN/H₂O. (5) Secondary alcohols can be oxidized smoothly to ketones in either 1:1 MeCN/ H₂O or 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O. (6) Unsaturated primary alcohols, such as benzyl alcohol 1c and cinnamyl alcohol 1d, can be oxidized selectively to aldehydes in 1:1 AcOEt/H2O.

Experimental Section

Melting points were measured on an Electrothermal 1A8104 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively, on a Varian UNITY-400 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard in a solution of CDCl₃. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Avatar 360 FT-IR spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Hitachi M-8000 mass spectrometer (ESI).

GLC Analysis. (1) Condition 1 to follow the oxidation of 1-octanol 1a and 2-octanol 2a: column, Reoplex 400 (15% Chemosorb AWCS, $2 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ mm } \phi$); carrier gas, He, 50 mL/min; injection temperature, 250 °C; column temperature, 120 °C (2 min) \rightarrow 10 °C/min \rightarrow 220 °C (8 min); detection, FID at 250 °C; octanoic acid 3a was converted to the corresponding methyl ester by treatment with Me₃- $SiCHN_2^{23}$ prior to the analysis: for detail, vide infra. (2) Condition 2 to follow the oxidation of solketal (2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-methanol) 1b, cyclopentanol 4b, benzhydrol 4c: column, J & W Scientific DB-624 (30 m \times 0.53 mm ϕ); carrier gas, He, 27 cm/s; injection temperature, 260 °C; column temperature, 130 °C (3 min) \rightarrow 10 °C/min \rightarrow 210 °C (4 min); detection, FID at 300 °C; 2,2-dimethyl-1,3dioxolane-4-carboxylic acid 3b was converted to the corresponding methyl ester by treatment with Me₃SiCHN₂²³ prior to the analysis: for detail, vide infra. To do the analysis quantitatively under each condition, n-tridecane or n-nonane was used as an internal standard depending on the retention times shown by compounds being analyzed.

Procedures to Assess Effects of Organic Solvent Species and Acid Scavengers on the Oxidation of 1-Octanol (1a) to Octanoic Acid (3a) by the Quantitative GLC Analysis: Procedure 1. A solution of TCCA (700 mg, 3.01 mmol) in an organic solvent (3.00 mL; selected from Table 3) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 1-octanol 1a (472 µL, d 0.827, 3.00 mmol), a base (9.00 mmol; selected from Table 1), RuCl₃ (0.10 M aqueous solution; 0.30 mL, 30.0 µmol), TBAB (0.10 M aqueous solution; 0.60 mL, 60.0 μ mol), *n*-tridecane (365 μ L, d 0.756, 1.50 mmol), and the same organic solvent as that used to dissolve TCCA (3.00 mL) with water-cooling below 40 °C. The mixture was stirred at 30 °C for 3 h. i-PrOH (2.0 mL) was added with water-cooling below 40 °C, and the stirring was continued at 30 °C for 30 min to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. A 6.0 M aqueous HCl solution was added at room temperature until the pH of the mixture became 4.0. AcOEt (10.0 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. From the organic phase of the mixture was taken an aliquot (0.50 mL) to which was added MeOH (2 drops from a Pasteur pipet). To the mixture was added a 10% solution of Me₃SiCHN₂ in *n*-hexane (purchased from Tokyo Kasei) until the yellow color persisted. A 0.5 μ L aliquot of the mixture was injected to a gas chromatograph running under condition 1: $t_{\rm R}$ 4.4 min for *n*-tridecane, 5.1 min for 2a, 6.2 min for methyl octanoate, and 7.9 min for **1a**.

Procedures to Assess Effects of pH on the Oxidation of 1-Octanol (1a) to Octanoic Acid (3a) by the Quantitative GLC Analysis: Procedure 2. A solution of TCCA (700 mg, 3.01 mmol) in MeCN (3.00 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 1-octanol 1a (3.00 M solution in MeCN; 1.00 mL, 3.00 mmol), RuCl₃ (0.10 M aqueous solution; 0.30 mL, 30.0 μ mol), TBAB (0.10 M aqueous solution; 0.60 mL, 60.0 μ mol), *n*-tridecane (365 μ L, d 0.756, 1.50 mmol), and a 1.0 M potassium phosphate buffer (10.0 mL; pH 5, 7, or 9; Table 2) with water-cooling below 40 °C. In the course of the addition, a 3.0 M aqueous K₂CO₃ solution was added to maintain the same pH as set initially. After the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred at 30 °C for 3 h. The reaction was worked up and analyzed quantitatively according to procedure 1.

Octanoic Acid (3a). To a stirred mixture of 1-octanol 1a (390 mg, 2.99 mmol) and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O (6.0 mL) were added RuCl₃·3H₂O (7.80 mg, 30.0 µmol), TBAB (19.3 mg, 59.9 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (1.24 g, 9.00 mmol) at room temperature. A solution of TCCA (700 mg, 3.01 mmol) in MeCN (3.0 mL) was added dropwise during which the reaction temperature was maintained at 25-45 °C with water-cooling. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 1 h. i-PrOH (150 µL, 1.97 mmol) was added, and the stirring was continued further for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered through a short pad of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. To the filtrate was added 5% aqueous HCl solution to adjust its pH to 2.0. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (1.5 mL \times 1). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl

⁽²²⁾ While cinnamic alcohol **1d** was oxidized to cinnamaldehyde **2d** with its double bond being unaffected, some preliminary experimentation indicated that isolated double bonds were susceptible to the present oxidation conditions.

⁽²³⁾ Hashimoto, N.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1981, 29, 1475.

solution (2.0 mL \times 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give **3a** (410 mg) in 94.9% yield.

2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-carboxylic Acid (3b). Solketal **1b** was subjected to the same conditions as described for the oxidation of **1a** to **3a**. The reaction was worked up and analyzed quantitatively according to procedure 1 except that the GLC analysis was conducted under condition 2: GLC (condition 2) $t_{\rm R}$ 9.0 min for methyl 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4-carboxylate (96.2%; available from Aldrich in enantiomerically pure forms); 7.5 min for **1b** (0%); and 13.3 min for *n*-tridecane.

Procedures to Assess Effects of pH on the Oxidation of 2-Octanol (4a) to 2-Octanone (5a) by the Quantitative GLC Analysis: Procedure 3. 2-Octanol 4a (391 mg, 3.00 mmol) was subjected to the same oxidation conditions as described for procedure 2. After the reaction mixture was stirred at 30 °C for 3 h, *i*-PrOH (2.0 mL) was added with water-cooling below 40 °C, and the stirring was continued at 30 °C for 30 min to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. AcOEt (10.0 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. A 0.5 μ L aliquot of the organic phase was injected to a gas chromatograph running under condition 1: $t_{\rm R}$ 4.4 min for *n*-tridecane, 5.3 min for 5b, and 6.4 min for 4a.

2-Octanone (5a). 2-Octanol **4a** (390 mg, 2.99 mmol) was subjected to the same conditions as those described for the oxidation of **1a** to **3a**. The reaction was worked up and analyzed quantitatively according to procedure 3: $t_{\rm R}$ 4.4 min for *n*-tridecane, 5.3 min for **5b** (98.4%), and 6.4 min for **4a** (0%).

Cyclopentanone (5b). Cyclopentanol **4b** (860 mg, 9.98 mmol) in 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O (20.0 mL) containing *n*-nonane (640 mg, 4.99 mmol; 0.5 equiv; an internal standard) was treated with TCCA (0.67 M solution in ACOEt; 10.0 mL, 6.71 mmol; 0.67 equiv) otherwise under the same conditions as those described for the oxidation of **1a** to **3a**. The reaction was worked up and analyzed quantitatively according to procedure 3 except that the GLC analysis was conducted under condition 2: GLC (condition 2) $t_{\rm R}$ 8.8 min for **5b** (89.9%); 8.4 min for **4b** (0%); 10.4 min for *n*-nonane.

Benzophenone (5c). Benzhydrol **4c** (3.86 g, 20.0 mmol) was oxidized in the presence of *n*-tridecane (1.84 g, 10.0 mmol; 0.5 equiv) as an internal standard otherwise under the same conditions as those described for the oxidation of **4b** to **5b**: GLC (condition 2) $t_{\rm R}$ 6.8 min for **5c** (95.4%); 21.5 min for *n*- tridecane; 22.2 min for **4c** (0%).

Benzil (5d). To a stirred mixture of benzoin **4d** (4.25 g, 20.0 mmol), RuCl₃·3H₂O (52.3 mg, 0.20 mmol), TBAB (129 mg, 0.40 mmol), K₂CO₃ (8.29 g, 60.0 mmol), and 1:1 AcOEt/ H₂O (40 mL) was added a solution of TCCA (3.09 g, 13.3 mmol) in AcOEt (20.0 mL) with water-cooling at 25–45 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 1 h. *i*-PrOH (1.00 mL, 13.1 mmol) was added with water-cooling below 45 °C, and the stirring was continued for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered by the aid of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. Layers of the filtrate were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (10 mL \times 1). The combined

AcOEt layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (10 mL × 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give **5d** (3.27 g) in 77.7% yield: HPLC [column, YMC-Pack ODS AM-3024 (6 mm $\phi \times 150$ mm); elution, MeCN/H₂O (60:40), 1.0 mL/min; detection, UV at 254 nm] $t_{\rm R}$ 8.0 min for **5d** (98.7%); mp 96–98 °C; IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr) 3317, 3064, 3029, 3007, 1660, 1594, 1577, 1450, 1325, 1211, 876, 795, 718, 696, 681, 642 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 7.99–7.96 (m, 4H), 7.67–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.53–7.49 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR δ 194.5, 134.8, 132.9, 129.8, 129.0.

Ketopantoyl Lactone (Dihydro-4,4-dimethyl-2,3-furandione) (5e).²⁰ To a stirred mixture of pantolactone 4e [dihydro-3-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-2(3H)-furanone; 2.60 g, 20.0 mmol], RuCl₃·3H₂O (52.3 mg, 0.20 mmol), TBAB (129 mg, 0.40 mmol), AcONa (8.20 g, 100 mmol), and 1:1 MeCN/ H₂O (40 mL) was added a solution of TCCA (4.64 g, 20.0 mmol) in MeCN (20.0 mL) dropwise with water-cooling at 25-45 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 1 h. i-PrOH (1.00 mL, 13.1 mmol) was added with water-cooling below 45 °C, and the stirring was continued for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered by the aid of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. Layers of the filtrate were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (10 mL \times 1). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (10 mL \times 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give 5e (2.50 g) in 97.7% yield: mp 68-70 °C (lit.:²⁰ 66–67.5 °C); IR v_{max} (KBr) 3526, 2988, 2971, 2937, 1764, 1460, 1395, 1280, 1043, 1009, 991, 952, 940, 732 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.48 (s, 2H), 1.33 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR δ 198.1, 160.4, 77.0, 41.8, 22.0.

2,3:5,6-Di-O-isopropylidene-D-mannono-y-lactone (5f).²¹ To a stirred mixture of 2,3:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-α-Dmannofuranose 4f (2.60 g, 9.99 mmol; available from Aldrich), RuCl₃·3H₂O (26.2 mg, 0.10 mmol), TBAB (64.4 mg, 0.20 mmol), AcONa (4.10 g, 50.0 mmol), and 1:1 MeCN/H₂O (20 mL) was added a solution of TCCA (2.32 g, 9.98 mmol) in MeCN (10.0 mL) dropwise with watercooling at 25-45 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 1 h. i-PrOH (500 µL, 6.57 mmol) was added with water-cooling below 45 °C, and the stirring was continued for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered by the aid of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. Layers of the filtrate were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (5.0 mL \times 1). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (5.0 mL \times 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give 5f (1.35 g) in 52.3% yield: mp 124-125 °C (lit.:²¹ 116 °C); IR ν_{max} (KBr) 2991, 2939, 2893, 1784, 1382, 1259, 1216, 1153, 1121, 1084, 1042, 977, 856 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.90–4.84 (m, 2H), 4.44–4.37 (m, 2H), 4.15 (dd, J = 5.6 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (dd, J = 4.1 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 173.4, 114.4, 109.8, 78.1, 76.0, 75.8, 72.5, 66.4, 26.9, 26.7, 25.7, 25.1; MS m/z 281 [(M + Na)⁺].

Benzoic Acid (3c). To a stirred mixture of benzyl alcohol **1c** (2.16 g, 20.0 mmol), RuCl₃·3H₂O (52.3 mg, 0.20 mmol), TBAB (129 mg, 0.40 mmol), K₂CO₃ (8.29 g, 60.0 mmol),

and 1:1 MeCN/H2O (40.0 mL) was added a solution of TCCA (4.64 g, 20.0 mmol) in MeCN (20.0 mL) dropwise with heating at reflux. The mixture was stirred and heated at reflux for 1 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. *i*-PrOH (1.00 mL, 13.1 mmol) was added with water-cooling below 45 °C, and the stirring was continued for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered by the aid of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. To the filtrate was added 5% aqueous HCl solution to adjust its pH to 2.0. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (10 mL \times 1). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (10.0 mL \times 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give 3c (2.29 g) in 93.9% yield: HPLC [column, YMC-Pack ODS AM-302 (4.6 mm $\phi \times 150$ mm); elution, H₂O/MeCN/CF₃CO₂H (70:30:0.1), 1.0 mL/min; detection, UV at 254 nm] $t_{\rm R}$ 5.0 min for 3c (99.9%).

Benzaldehyde (2c). To a stirred mixture of benzyl alcohol 1c (2.16 g, 20.0 mmol), RuCl₃·3H₂O (52.3 mg, 0.20 mmol), TBAB (129 mg, 0.40 mmol), K₂CO₃ (8.29 g, 60.0 mmol), and 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O (40.0 mL) was added a solution of TCCA (3.09 g, 13.3 mmol) in AcOEt (20.0 mL) dropwise with water-cooling at 25-45 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 1 h. i-PrOH (1.00 mL, 13.1 mmol) was added with water-cooling below 45 °C, and the stirring was continued for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered by the aid of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. Layers of the filtrate were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt $(10 \text{ mL} \times 1)$. The combined AcOEt layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (10.0 mL \times 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give 2c (1.84 g) in 86.8% yield: HPLC [column, YMC-Pack ODS AM-302 (4.6 mm $\phi \times 150$ mm); elution, H₂O/MeCN/CF₃CO₂H (70:30:0.1), 1.0 mL/min; detection, UV at 254 nm] $t_{\rm R}$ 8.0 min for **2c** (99.6%).

Cinnamaldehyde (2d). To a stirred mixture of cynnamyl alcohol 1d (2.68 g, 20.0 mmol), RuCl₃·3H₂O (52.3 mg, 0.20 mmol), TBAB (129 mg, 0.40 mmol), K₂CO₃ (8.29 g, 60.0 mmol), and 1:1 AcOEt/H₂O (40.0 mL) was added a solution of TCCA (3.09 g, 13.3 mmol) in AcOEt (20.0 mL) dropwise with water-cooling at 25-45 °C. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature range for 1 h. i-PrOH (1.00 mL, 13.1 mmol) was added with water-cooling below 45 °C, and the stirring was continued for 1 h to destroy the unconsumed TCCA. The mixture was filtered by the aid of Hyflo Super Cel to remove insoluble materials. Layers of the filtrate were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (10 mL \times 1). The combined AcOEt layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution (10.0 mL \times 2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to give 2d (2.60 g) in 98.5% yield: HPLC [column, YMC-Pack ODS AM-302 (4.6 mm $\phi \times 150$ mm); elution, H₂O/MeCN/CF₃CO₂H (70:30:0.1), 1.0 mL/min; detection, UV at 254 nm] $t_{\rm R}$ 12.0 min for 2d (95.5%).

Acknowledgment

M.I. thanks Mr. Masafumi Moriwaki, Director, Research & Development Center, Nagase & Co., Ltd., for his managerial support. Thanks are also due to Mr. Yoshiaki Okuda, Product Development Section 4, Bio/Fine Chemicals Division, Nagase ChemteX Corporation, for his managerial involvement in this joint program between Nagase & Co., Ltd. and Nagase ChemteX Corporation.

Received for review March 22, 2004. OP049940K